arXiv:1606.03684v1 [math.AP] 12 Jun 2016

THE VERIGIN PROBLEM WITH AND WITHOUT PHASE TRANSITION

JAN PRÜSS AND GIERI SIMONETT

Abstract. Isothermal incompressible two-phase flows with and without phase transition are modeled, employing Darcy's and/or Forchheimer's law for the velocity field. It is shown that the resulting systems are thermodynamically consistent in the sense that the available energy is a strict Lyapunov functional. In both cases, the equilibria are identified and their thermodynamical stability is investigated by means of a variational approach. It is shown that the problems are well-posed in an L_p-setting and generate local semiflows in the proper state manifolds. It is further shown that a non-degenerate equilibrium is dynamically stable in the natural state manifold if and only if it is thermodynamically stable. Finally, it is shown that a solution which does not develop singularities exists globally and converges to an equilibrium in the state manifold.

1. Introduction

The Verigin problem concerns compressible two-phase potential flows driven by surface tension. It is the compressible analogue to the Muskat problem in which the phases are incompressible. In contrast to the Muskat problem, there is only scarce work on the Verigin problem. We only know of the papers [1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10], which address local existence in some special cases, mostly excluding surface tension, which is physically questionable. None of these papers deals with thermodynamical consistency, equilibria, stability questions, and large time behaviour of solutions. Also, there are no results at all on the Verigin problem with phase transition.

It is the aim of this paper to close these gaps. We develop a fairly complete dynamical theory for the Verigin problem with and without phase transition. This includes local well-posedness, thermodynamical consistency, identification of the equilibria, discussion of their stability, the local semiflows on the proper state manifolds, as well as convergence to equilibrium of solutions which do not develop singularities in a sense to be specified. To a large extent we will follow the strategies and employ the tools of our monograph Prüss and Simonett [5].

To fix some notation, in this paper $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes a bounded domain with outer boundary $\partial\Omega \in C^2$, ϱ the density, u the velocity, and π the pressure field. The domain Ω consists of two parts, Ω_1 is the so-called *disperse phase* and Ω_2 is the *continuous phase*, $\Gamma = \partial\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ denotes the *interface*. In particular, we assume no *boundary contact*. The outer normal of Ω_1 will be denoted by ν_Γ, the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 76D27, 76E17, 35R37, 35K59.

Key words and phrases. Two-phase flows, phase transition, Darcy's law, Forchheimer's law, available energy, quasilinear parabolic evolution equations, maximal regularity, generalized principle of linearized stability, convergence to equilibria.

The research of G.S. was partially supported by the NSF Grant DMS-0600870.



FIGURE 1. A typical geometry

corresponding *normal velocity* of Γ by V_Γ, and the *normal jump* of a quantity v across Γ by $[\![v]\!] := v_2 - v_1$. A typical initial geometry is depicted in Figure 1.

The free energies in the phases will be given functions $\psi(\varrho)$ which may depend on the phase. The relation between pressure and density in each phase is given by Maxwell's law, which reads

$$\pi(\varrho) = \varrho^2 \psi'(\varrho), \quad \varrho > 0. \tag{1.1}$$

We assume that this function is strictly increasing, hence we may invert it to obtain the so-called *equation of state* $\varrho = \varrho(\pi)$.

The converse statement is also true. Given an equation of state $\varrho = \varrho(\pi)$ with ϱ strictly increasing, inverting this relation we find $\pi = \phi(\varrho)$ and $\psi(\varrho)$ can then be found from $\psi'(\varrho) = \pi(\varrho)/\varrho^2$, up to a constant. However, in this paper we consider the free energies to be given.

As an example, we consider an *ideal gas*, where the equation of state reads $\pi(\varrho) = c\varrho$, with some constant $c > 0$. Then we obtain

$$\psi(\varrho) = c \log(\varrho) + d,$$

where $d = \psi(1)$ denotes another constant. Another common equation of state reads $\pi(\varrho) = c\varrho^r$, with constants $c > 0$, $r > 0$, $r \neq 1$. In this case we have

$$\psi(\varrho) = \frac{c}{r-1} \varrho^{r-1} + d.$$

2. MODELING

In the sequel we briefly explain the model; cf. the monograph Prüss and Simonett [5], Chapter 1, for more details.

2.1 Balance of mass

We assume that there is no surface mass. Then balance of mass becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Gamma(t), \\ [\![\varrho(u \cdot \nu_\Gamma - V_\Gamma)]\!] &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma(t). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

At the outer boundary $\partial\Omega$ we assume $u \cdot \nu = 0$.

These equations imply in particular *conservation of total mass*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathsf{M}(t) = 0, \quad \mathsf{M}(t) = \int_\Omega \varrho(t,x)\,dx = \mathsf{M}(0) =: \mathsf{M}^0.$$

We define the *interfacial mass flux* (phase flux for short) by means of

$$j_\Gamma := \varrho(u\cdot\nu_\Gamma - V_\Gamma), \quad \text{which means} \quad [\![\frac{1}{\varrho}]\!] j_\Gamma = [\![u\cdot\nu_\Gamma]\!].$$

Note that j_Γ is well-defined by (2.1). We consider two cases.

(i) *No phase transition* means $j_\Gamma \equiv 0$. Then

$$V_\Gamma := u\cdot\nu_\Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad [\![u\cdot\nu_\Gamma]\!] = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

i.e., the interface is advected with the flow.

(ii) *Phase transition* means $j_\Gamma \not\equiv 0$. Then

$$V_\Gamma = u\cdot\nu_\Gamma - j_\Gamma/\varrho,$$

which implies

$$[\![\varrho]\!] V_\Gamma = [\![\varrho u\cdot\nu_\Gamma]\!] \quad \text{and} \quad [\![1/\varrho]\!] j_\Gamma = [\![u\cdot\nu_\Gamma]\!]. \tag{2.3}$$

Due to the additional variable j_Γ, in this case one more equation on the interface will be needed.

There are two more cases.

(a) *The incompresible case*: **Muskat Problems**.
Here the density $\varrho > 0$ is assumed to be constant in each phase.

(b) *The compressible case*: **Verigin Problems**.
Here the density $\varrho = \varrho(\pi) > 0$ depends on the pressure and satisfies $\varrho'(\pi) > 0$ for all relevant $\pi \in \mathbb{R}$.

In this paper we concentrate on the Verigin problems. The Muskat problems reduce to nonlocal geometric evolution equations which are studied in the monograph Prüss and Simonett [5], Chapter 12; see also Prüss and Simonett [6] and the references given there.

2.2 Modeling the velocity

The velocity u is modeled as a potential flow, following *Darcy's law*. This means

$$u = -k\nabla\pi, \tag{2.4}$$

where $k = k(\pi) > 0$ is called *permeability*. Note that the function k depends on the phase. A variant of this is *Forchheimer's law* which reads

$$g(|u|)u = -l\nabla\pi, \tag{2.5}$$

where $l = l(\pi) > 0$, and $g > 0$ is such that the function $s \mapsto sg(s)$ is strictly increasing. Solving this equation for u leads to

$$u = -k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\nabla\pi, \tag{2.6}$$

with $k(\pi,s) > 0$ and $k(\pi,s) + 2s\partial_2 k(\pi,s) > 0$, for all $\pi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \geq 0$.

Conservation of mass then yields the quasilinear diffusion equation

$$\varrho'(\pi)\partial_t\pi - \operatorname{div}(\varrho(\pi)k(\pi,|\nabla\pi|^2)\nabla\pi) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega\setminus\Gamma(t), \tag{2.7}$$

and the boundary condition $u\cdot\nu = 0$ becomes the Neumann condition $\partial_\nu\pi = 0$ on the outer boundary $\partial\Omega$.

On the interface, the driving force will be *surface tension*, which means

$$[\![\pi]\!] = \sigma H_\Gamma, \tag{2.8}$$

where H_Γ denotes the *mean curvature* of the interface, and $\sigma > 0$ the (constant) coefficient of surface tension.

Next we have to distinguish the cases **(i)** and **(ii)**.

(i) Here there is no phase transition which means $j_\Gamma = 0$, hence we obtain by (2.2)

$$0 = [\![u \cdot \nu_\Gamma]\!] = -[\![k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\partial_\nu \pi]\!] \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$

and

$$V_\Gamma = u \cdot \nu_\Gamma = -k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\partial_\nu\pi \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

In this case the mass is preserved, even in each component of the phases!

(ii) If phase transition is present, then we obtain by (2.3)

$$[\![\varrho(\pi)]\!]V_\Gamma = [\![\varrho(\pi)u \cdot \nu_\Gamma]\!] = -[\![\varrho(\pi)k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\partial_\nu\pi]\!] \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

Due to additional variable j_Γ, we have to add another condition on the boundary, which will be the (reduced) Gibbs-Thomson law

$$[\![\psi(\varrho) + \varrho\psi'(\varrho)]\!] = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

In this case only the total mass is conserved. Note that here the free energy $\psi(\varrho)$ shows up explicitly, in contrast to the case without phase transition..

Summarizing, we have the following two problems

2.3 The Verigin problem without phase transition

The resulting problem becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho'(\pi)\partial_t\pi - \operatorname{div}(\varrho(\pi)k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\nabla\pi) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Gamma(t),\\
\partial_\nu\pi &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega,\\
[\![\pi]\!] &= \sigma H_\Gamma && \text{on } \Gamma(t),\\
[\![k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\partial_\nu\pi]\!] &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma(t),\\
V_\Gamma + k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\partial_\nu\pi &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma(t),\\
\Gamma(0) = \Gamma_0, \quad \pi(0) &= \pi_0 && \text{in } \Omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

In the sequel, we assume

$$\varrho \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad \varrho(p), \varrho'(p) > 0 \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$k \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+), \quad k(p,s),\ k(p,s) + 2s\partial_2 k(p,s) > 0 \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{R},\ s \geq 0.$$

2.4 The Verigin problem with phase transition

This problem reads as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho'(\pi)\partial_t\pi - \operatorname{div}(\varrho(\pi)k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\nabla\pi) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Gamma(t),\\
\partial_\nu\pi &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega,\\
[\![\pi]\!] &= \sigma H_\Gamma && \text{on } \Gamma(t),\\
[\![\psi(\varrho) + \varrho\psi'(\varrho)]\!] &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma(t),\\
[\![\varrho(\pi)]\!]V_\Gamma + [\![\varrho(\pi)k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\partial_\nu\pi]\!] &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma(t),\\
\Gamma(0) = \Gamma_0, \quad \pi(0) &= \pi_0 && \text{in } \Omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

It should be observed that, besides the previous assumptions on k and ϱ, this problem will only be well-posed if $[\![\varrho]\!] \neq 0$, in contrast to the case without phase transition.

3. Thermodynamical Properties of the Models

In this section some physical properties of the models are discussed. We first introduce the available energy E_a.

3.1 The available energy and equilibria

The available energy E_a is given by

$$\mathsf{E}_a = \mathsf{E}_a(\pi, \Gamma) = \int_\Omega \varrho\psi \, dx + \sigma \mathrm{mes}(\Gamma);$$

it is the sum of free and surface energy. A short computation yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathsf{E}_a(t) = -\int_\Omega k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)|\nabla\pi|^2 \, dx \leq 0,$$

hence E_a is a Lyapunov functional for both Verigin problems. Note that in case **(ii)** there is no energy dissipation on the interface, due to the Gibbs-Thomson relation.

To see that E_a is even a strict Lyapunov functional, suppose $\frac{d}{dt}\mathsf{E}_a(t) = 0$ at some time t. As $k > 0$ this implies $\nabla\pi = 0$, hence π is constant in the components of the phases, and moreover with $\varrho' > 0$ this yields $\partial_t\pi = 0$ as well as $V_\Gamma = 0$ in case **(i)**, and also in case **(ii)** if $[\![\varrho]\!] \neq 0$. Thus we are at an equilibrium, which proves that the available energy is even a strict Lyapunov functional.

Via the interface condition $[\![\pi]\!] = \sigma H_\Gamma$ this further shows that H_Γ is constant on the components of the interface. Therefore, the (non-degenerate) equilibria are constant pressures in the components of the phases, and Γ is a disjoint union of finitely many disjoint spheres $\Gamma_j = S_{R_j}(x_j)$, say $j = 1, \ldots, m$, such that

$$[\![\pi]\!] = -\frac{(n-1)\sigma}{R_j} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, m.$$

The set of non-degenerate equilibria is denoted by $\mathcal{E}$ in the sequel.
Now we have to distinguish the cases.

(i) *Without phase transition.*
In this case there are no further restrictions, hence the manifold of equilibria has dimension $\dim \mathcal{E} = m(n+1)+1$. Prescribing the masses of the components of the phases, this yields $(m+1)$ conditions, reducing the degrees of freedom to mn. We emphasize that in this case the radii $R_j > 0$ of the spheres are arbitrary and the continuous phase Ω_2 need not be connected.

(ii) *With phase transition.*
Here we have the additional interface condition $[\![\psi(\varrho) + \varrho\psi'(\varrho)]\!] = 0$. As the functions

$$\varphi(\varrho) := \psi(\varrho) + \varrho\psi'(\varrho) \quad \text{satisfy} \quad \varphi'(\varrho) = \pi'(\varrho)/\varrho > 0,$$

this shows that ϱ_2 uniquely determines ϱ_1 and vice versa, hence the same is valid for π_i. Therefore, the densities and pressures are constant even throughout the phases, and so the spheres all have the same radius. Consequently, Ω_2 is connected, and the dimension of $\mathcal{E}$ in this case is $\dim \mathcal{E} = mn + 1$; conservation of mass reduces it by one.

3.2 The variational approach: first variation

Consider the functional $\mathsf{E}_a(\pi,\Gamma)$, i.e., the available energy, with constraints

(i) *Without phase transition.*

$$\mathsf{M}_{ij}(\varrho,\Gamma)=\int_{\Omega_{ij}}\varrho\,dx=\mathsf{M}_{ij}(0)=:\mathsf{M}_{ij}^0.$$

This encodes conservation of mass of the components Ω_{ij} of the phases Ω_i, for $i=1,2$.

(ii) *With phase transition.*

$$\mathsf{M}(\varrho,\Gamma)=\int_{\Omega}\varrho\,dx=\mathsf{M}(0)=:\mathsf{M}^0,$$

which means conservation of total mass.

The method of Lagrange multipliers at a critical point $e_*:=(\pi_*,\Gamma_*)$ with these constraints yields

$$\mathsf{E}_a'(e_*)+\sum_{ij}\mu_{ij}\mathsf{M}_{ij}'(e_*)=0,\quad \text{resp.}\quad \mathsf{E}_a'(e_*)+\mu\mathsf{M}'(e_*)=0,$$

for some constants $\mu_{ij},\mu\in\mathbb{R}$. A short computation implies with $\varphi(\varrho)=\psi(\varrho)+\varrho\psi'(\varrho)$ and $\varrho_*=\varrho(\pi_*)$ that $\varphi(\varrho_*)$ is constant in each component of the phases, hence ϱ_* is as well, as φ is strictly increasing, and then also π_* has this property, as ϱ is strictly increasing, by assumption. Furthermore, we obtain in both cases $[\![\pi]\!]=\sigma H_{\Gamma_*}$. In addition, in case **(ii)** we also get $[\![\varphi(\varrho_*)]\!]=0$.

Consequently, in both cases the critical points of the available energy functional with the proper constraints are the equilibria of the system.

3.3 The variational approach: second variation

Next we look at the second variation of the functional

$$\mathsf{C}:=\mathsf{E}_a+\sum_{ij}\mu_{ij}\mathsf{M}_{ij},\quad \text{resp.}\quad \mathsf{C}:=\mathsf{E}_a+\mu\mathsf{M}.$$

Another computation yields with $\varrho_*'=\varrho'(\pi_*)$ the following identity.

$$(\mathbf{S})\quad \langle\mathsf{C}''(e_*)(v,h)|(v,h)\rangle=\int_\Omega\frac{\varrho_*'}{\varrho_*}|v|^2\,dx+\sigma\int_\Sigma\mathcal{A}_\Sigma h\bar{h}\,d\Sigma. \tag{3.1}$$

Here $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma=-H_\Gamma'$ means the curvature operator on the equilibrium hypersurface $\Sigma=\Gamma_*$. For a critical point e_* of E_a with the given constraints to be a minimum, it is necessary that this form is nonnegative on the kernel of the derivative of the constraints at e_*.

In case **(ii)** we have

$$(v,h)\in\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{M}'(e_*))\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad\int_\Omega\varrho_*'v\,dx=[\![\varrho_*]\!]\int_\Sigma h\,d\Sigma.$$

This further implies that the equilibrium interface Γ_* is connected, and that the stability condition

$$(\mathbf{SCii})\quad \zeta_*:=\frac{(n-1)\sigma}{[\![\varrho(\pi_*)]\!]^2R_*^2|\Gamma_*|}\int_\Omega\varrho'(\pi_*)\varrho(\pi_*)\,dx\le 1$$

holds true. Note that this number is dimensionless. In fact, if $\Gamma_* =: \Sigma$ is not connected and has, say, $m > 1$ components Σ_k, set $v = 0$ and $h = h_k$ constant on Σ_k with $\sum_k h_k = 0$. Then $(v, h) \in \mathsf{N}(\mathsf{M}'(e_*))$ and

$$\langle \mathsf{C}''(e_*)(v,h)|(v,h)\rangle = -\frac{\sigma(n-1)|\Sigma|}{mR_*^2}\sum_k h_k^2 < 0, \quad \text{for } h \neq 0,$$

hence $\mathsf{C}''(e_*)$ is not positive semi-definite on $\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{M}'(e_*))$. On the other hand, if Γ_* is connected, set $v = \varrho_* w$ with w constant on Ω, and h constant on Γ_*. In this case $(v, h) \in \mathsf{N}(\mathsf{M}'(e_*))$ if

$$\big(\int_\Omega \varrho_*'\varrho_*\,dx\big)w = [\![\varrho_*]\!]|\Gamma_*|h,$$

and

$$\langle \mathsf{C}''(e_*)(v,h)|(v,h)\rangle = \big(\int_\Omega \varrho_*'\varrho_*\,dx\big)w^2 - \frac{\sigma(n-1)|\Gamma_*|}{R_*^2}h^2,$$

is nonnegative if and only if the stability condition **(SCii)** is valid.

Summarizing, we have

Theorem 3.1. *The Verigin problem with phase transition has the following properties.*

1. *The total mass is preserved along smooth solutions.*
2. *The available energy is a strict Lyapunov functional.*
3. *The non-degenerate equilibria consist of constant pressures in the phases and the interface Γ_* is a finite disjoint union of spheres of* **common radius** *$R_* > 0$, and Ω_2 is connected.*
4. *The equilibria are precisely the critical points of the available energy functional with prescribed total mass.*
5. *Onset of Ostwald ripening: if the available energy functional with prescribed mass has a local minimum at $e_* = (\pi_*, \Gamma_*)$ then Γ_* is connected, and the stability condition* (**SCii**) *holds.*
6. *If either Γ_* is disconnected or $\zeta_* > 1$, then e_* is a saddle point of E_a with constraint $\mathsf{M} = \mathsf{M}^0$.*

In particular, the Verigin problem with phase transition is thermodynamically consistent, and an equilibrium is thermodynamically stable if and only if Γ_* is connected and the stability condition **(SCii)** *holds.*

The case **(i)** without phase transition is more involved. Take any component Ω_{ij} of Ω_i, $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then we obtain

$$(v,h) \in \mathsf{N}(\mathsf{M}_{ij}'(e_*)) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \int_{\Omega_{ij}} \varrho_*' v\,dx + (-1)^{i+1}\int_{\partial\Omega_{ij}} \varrho_* h\,d\Sigma = 0.$$

Decomposing

$$v = v_0 + \sum_{ij} v_{ij}\chi_{\Omega_{ij}}, \quad \int_{\Omega_{ij}} v_0\,dx = 0, \ \text{ for all } i,j,$$

and

$$h = h_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m h_k\chi_{\Sigma_k}, \quad \int_{\Sigma_k} h_0\,d\Sigma = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$

where v_{ij}, h_k are constants, and observing

$$\int_\Omega (\varrho_*'/\varrho_*)|v_0|^2\,dx \geq 0, \quad (\mathcal{A}_\Sigma h_0|h_0)_\Sigma \geq 0,$$

we see that the form $\langle \mathsf{C}''(v,h)|(v,h)\rangle$ is nonnegative on $\cap_{ij}\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{M}_{ij}'(e_*))$ if and only if the stability condition

$$(\mathbf{SCi}) \quad C_* \quad \text{is positive semi-definite on} \quad \mathbb{R}^m$$

is valid. Here the real symmetric matrix C_* is defined via its entries

$$c_{kl}^* = \sum_{ij} \frac{\varrho_{ij}(\pi_*)}{\varrho_{ij}'(\pi_*)|\Omega_{ij}|}\delta_{ij}^k\delta_{ij}^l - \frac{\sigma(n-1)}{R_k^2|\Sigma_k|}\delta_{kl},$$

with $\delta_{ij}^k = 1 \Leftrightarrow \Sigma_k \subset \partial\Omega_{ij}$, $\delta_{ij}^k = 0$ otherwise. In fact, by the constraints

$$(\varrho_{ij}'(\pi_*)/\varrho_{ij}(\pi_*))|\Omega_{ij}|v_{ij} = (-1)^i \sum_k |\Sigma_k|h_k\delta_{ij}^k,$$

hence, with $(v_0, h_0) = (0,0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle C''(e_*)(v,h)|(v,h)\rangle &= \sum_{ij}(\varrho_{ij}'(\pi_*)/\varrho_{ij}(\pi_*))|\Omega_{ij}||v_{ij}|^2 - \sigma(n-1)\sum_k |\Sigma_k||h_k|^2/R_k^2 \\ &= \sum_{k,l} c_{kl}^*|\Sigma_k|h_k|\Sigma_l|h_l = (C_*\tilde{h}|\tilde{h})\end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde{h}_k = |\Sigma_k|h_k$.

Summarizing, in case **(i)** we have the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *The Verigin problem without phase transition has the following properties.*

(1) *The masses of the components of the phases are preserved along smooth solutions.*
(2) *The available energy is a strict Lyapunov functional.*
(3) *The non-degenerate equilibria consist of constant pressures in the components of the phases and the interface Γ_* is a finite disjoint union of spheres of* **arbitrary radii**.
(4) *The equilibria are precisely the critical points of the available energy functional with prescribed total masses of the components of the phases.*
(5) *If the available energy functional with prescribed masses has a local minimum at $e_* = (\pi_*, \Gamma_*)$ then* (**SCi**) *holds.*
(6) *If* (**SCi**) *does not hold, then e_* is a saddle point of E_a with the constraints $\mathsf{M}_{ij} = \mathsf{M}_{ij}^0$.*

In particular, the Verigin problem without phase transition is thermodynamically consistent, and an equilibrium is thermodynamically stable if and only if the stability condition **(SCi)** *holds.*

4. Local Well-Posedness of the Verigin Problems

To prove local well-posedness we first investigate the principal parts of the linearizations of the problems.

4.1 The principal linearization

(a) In the bulk $\Omega \setminus \Sigma$:

$$\varrho_0'(x)\partial_t v - \varrho_0(x)\mathrm{div}(a(x)\nabla v) = \varrho_0'(x) f_v,$$

where $a(x) = k_0(x)I + 2k_1(x)\nabla\pi_0 \otimes \nabla\pi_0$, with the abbreviations $\varrho_0(x) = \varrho(\pi_0(x))$, $\varrho_0'(x) = \varrho'(\pi_0(x))$, $k_0(x) = k(\pi_0(x), |\nabla\pi_0(x)|^2)$, $k_1(x) = \partial_2 k(\pi_0(x), |\nabla\pi_0(x)|^2)$.

(b) On the interface Σ:

$$[\![v]\!] - \sigma\Delta_\Sigma h = g_h,$$

and in the case without phase transition

$$-[\![\nu(x)\cdot a(x)\nabla v]\!] = g_v,$$
$$\partial_t h + \nu(x)\cdot a(x)\nabla v = f_h,$$

but if phase transition is present, we have

$$[\![v/\varrho_0(x)]\!] = g_v,$$
$$[\![\varrho_0(x)]\!]\partial_t h + [\![\varrho_0(x)\nu(x)\cdot a(x)\nabla v]\!] = f_h.$$

(c) On the outer boundary $\partial\Omega$: $\partial_\nu v = 0$.
(d) Initial conditions: $h(0) = h_0, \quad v(0) = v_0$.

4.2 The principal symbols

In the interior, the problem is clearly parabolic, due to the assumptions

$$\varrho(p), \varrho'(), k(p,s), k(p,s) + 2s\partial_2 k(p,s) > 0, \quad p \in \mathbb{R},\ s \geq 0.$$

So we only have to look at the interface Σ. Freezing coefficients, flattening the interface and solving the bulk problems, this yields the following boundary symbols, where λ denotes the covariable of time, and ξ that of the tangential space directions. We set

$$\mathsf{n}_i(\lambda,\xi) = ((\varrho_i'\lambda/\varrho_i + a_i(\xi,\xi))a_i(\nu,\nu) - a(\xi,\nu)^2)^{1/2} \quad i = 1,2.$$

This is the symbol of a *parabolic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator*. From Prüss and Simonett [5], Sections 6.6 and 6.7, we obtain the boundary symbols of the linearized Verigin problems.

(i) *Without Phase Transition.*
In this case the boundary symbol becomes

$$s(\lambda,\xi) = \lambda + \frac{\mathsf{n}_1(\lambda,\xi)\mathsf{n}_2(\lambda,\xi)}{\mathsf{n}_1(\lambda,\xi) + \mathsf{n}_2(\lambda,\xi)}\sigma|\xi|^2.$$

For this case we refer to Prüss and Simonett [5], Section 6.7.1.

(ii) *With Phase Transition.*
By a similar computation as in Prüss and Simonett [5], Section 6.6.3, we have for the boundary symbol

$$s(\lambda,\xi) = [\![\varrho]\!]^2\lambda + \Big(\varrho_1^2\mathsf{n}_1(\lambda,\xi) + \varrho_2^2\mathsf{n}_2(\lambda,\xi)\Big)\sigma|\xi|^2.$$

Observe that both symbols are equivalent to the boundary symbol of the standard Stefan problem with surface tension, namely they are equivalent to the symbol

$$s_0(\lambda,\xi) = \lambda + |\xi|^2(\lambda + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, the analytical setting, maximal L_p-regularity, and also the local existence proof are the same as for the Stefan problem with surface tension!
So the spaces for (π, h) are

$$\pi \in H^1_{p,\mu}(J; L_p(\Omega)) \cap L_{p,\mu}(J; H^2_p(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)),$$

$$h \in W^{3/2-1/2p}_{p,\mu}(J; L_p(\Sigma)) \cap W^{1-1/2p}_{p,\mu}(J; H^2_p(\Sigma)) \cap L_{p,\mu}(J; W^{4-1/p}_p(\Sigma)).$$

Here $\mu \in (1/p, 1]$ indicates a time weight, cf. Prüss-Simonett [5].

4.3 Local well-posedness

We rewrite the Hanzawa-transformed problem as

$$Lz = N(z),$$

where $z = (\pi, h)$ collects the system variables.

Define the space of solutions $\mathbb{E}(a)$ on the time interval $J = [0, a]$ by means of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi \in H^1_p(J; L_p(\Omega; \mathbb{R})) \cap L_p(J; H^2_p(\Omega \setminus \Sigma; \mathbb{R}) =: \mathbb{E}_\pi(a),\\
&h \in W^{3/2-1/2p}_p(J; L_p(\Sigma)) \cap W^{1-1/2p}_p(J; H_p(\Sigma))\\
&\qquad \cap L_p(J; W^{4-1/p}_p(\Sigma)) =: \mathbb{E}_h(a),\\
&\mathbb{E}(a) := \mathbb{E}_\pi(a) \times \mathbb{E}_h(a).
\end{aligned}$$

From maximal regularity we obtain that $L : \mathbb{E}(a) \to \mathbb{F}(a)$ is an isomorphism, and $N : \mathbb{E}(a) \to \mathbb{F}(a)$ is of class C^1, provided $p > n + 2$. We skip here the precise description of the data space $\mathbb{F}(a) := L\mathbb{E}(a)$. Note that the embeddings

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\pi(a) &\hookrightarrow C(J; W^{2-2/p}_p(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)) \hookrightarrow C(J; BUC^{1+\alpha}(\Omega \setminus \Sigma))^{n+1},\\
\mathbb{E}_h(a) &\hookrightarrow C^1(J; W^{2-3/p}_p(\Sigma)) \cap C(J; W^{4-3/p}_p(\Sigma))\\
&\hookrightarrow C^1(J; C^{1+\alpha}(\Sigma)) \cap C(J; C^{3+\alpha-1/p}(\Sigma)),
\end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha = 1 - (n + 2)/p > 0$ are valid. The nonlinearity N contains

* lower order terms which can be made small by smallness of $a > 0$;
* highest order terms carry $\nabla_\Sigma h$ which are small by smallness of h_0.

Therefore, we may apply the contraction mapping principle to obtain local well-posedness of the transformed problem. We refer to the monograph Prüss and Simonett [5], Chapter 9, for more details.

5. Stability of equilibria

For stability of the equilibria we have to study the spectrum of the the linearization of the problems. We observe that these spectra only consist of a sequence of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity converging to infinity, due to compact embeddings, as Ω is bounded.

5.1 The eigenvalue problem at an equilibrium
For the case without phase transition: in the bulk $\Omega \setminus \Sigma$, $\Sigma := \Gamma_*$,:

$$\varrho_*' \lambda v - \varrho_* k_* \Delta v = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

On the interface $\Sigma := \Gamma_*$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\![v]\!] + \sigma \mathcal{A}_\Sigma h &= 0, \\ [\![k_* \partial_\nu v]\!] &= 0, \\ \lambda h + k_* \partial_\nu v &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

On the outer boundary $\partial\Omega$: $\partial_\nu v = 0$.

Here $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma = -(n-1)/R_*^2 - \Delta_\Sigma$ is the linearization of the curvature. If phase transition is present, the interface conditions have to be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} [\![v]\!] + \sigma \mathcal{A}_\Sigma h &= 0, \\ [\![v/\varrho_*]\!] &= 0, \\ [\![\varrho_*]\!] \lambda h + [\![\varrho_* k_* \partial_\nu v]\!] &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

In both cases, taking the L_2-inner product of (5.1) with v/ϱ_* leads to

$$\lambda \big[\int_\Omega \frac{\varrho_*'}{\varrho_*} |v|^2 \, dx + \sigma \int_\Sigma \mathcal{A}_\Sigma h \bar{h} \, d\Sigma \big] + \int_\Omega k_* |\nabla v|^2 \, dx = 0,$$

for any eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and eigenvector (v, h). Therefore, all eigenvalues are real, and there are no positive eigenvalues if and only if

$$\int_\Omega \frac{\varrho_*'}{\varrho_*} |v|^2 \, dx + \sigma \int_\Sigma \mathcal{A}_\Sigma h \bar{h} \, d\Sigma \geq 0,$$

for all relevant $(v, h) \neq 0$. Take any component Ω_{ij} of Ω_i, and integrate (5.1) over Ω_{ij}. Then we obtain in the first case for $\lambda \neq 0$

$$\int_{\Omega_{ij}} \varrho_*' v \, dx + (-1)^{i+1} \int_{\partial\Omega_{ij}} \varrho_* h \, d\Sigma = 0.$$

This resembles the constraints in case **(i)** found in Section 2.3. Integrating (5.1) over Ω, in the second case we find

$$\int_\Omega \varrho_*' v \, dx = [\![\varrho_*]\!] \int_\Sigma h \, d\Sigma,$$

in accordance with the variational approach in case **(ii)**.

Hence, we may conclude that there are no nontrivial eigenvalues with negative real parts, provided the equilibrium is thermodynamically stable, in the sense that C_* is positive semi-definite in the first case, and Γ_* is connected and $\zeta_* \leq 1$ in the second case.

It is not difficult to show that the kernel of the linearization L equals the tangent space of $\mathcal{E}$ at an equilibrium $e_* \in \mathcal{E}$. Moreover, we can prove that 0 is a semi-simple eigenvalue of L, if and only if $\det C_* \neq 0$ in the first case, and $\zeta_* \neq 1$ in the second case.

Assuming the latter, we can also show that in case **(i)** the number of negative eigenvalues of L equals the number of negative eigenvalues of C_*, and that in case **(ii)** we have m positive eigenvalues if $\zeta_* > 1$, otherwise $m - 1$.

These assertions will be proved in the following subsections.

5.2 The kernel of the linearization

For the case **(ii)** with phase transition we introduce the linearization operator L_2 in $X_0 = L_p(\Omega) \times W_p^{2-2/p}(\Sigma)$ by means of

$$L_2(v,h) = \Big(-\frac{\varrho_* k_*}{\varrho_*'}\Delta v, \frac{[\![\varrho_* k_* \partial_\nu v]\!]}{[\![\varrho_*]\!]}\Big), \quad (v,h) \in \mathsf{D}(L),$$

where with $X_1 = H_p^2(\Omega \setminus \Sigma) \times W_p^{2-2/p}(\Sigma)$ the domain of L_2 is given by

$$\mathsf{D}(L_2) = \{(v,h) \in X_1 : \partial_\nu v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,\ [\![v/\varrho_*]\!] = 0,\ [\![v]\!] + \sigma \mathcal{A}_\Sigma h = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma\}.$$

This operator is the negative generator of a compact analytic C_0-semigroup in X_0, see Section 4 and Chapter 6 in Prüss and Simonett [5]. Therefore, its spectrum consists only of discrete eigenvalues of finite algebraic multiplicity, clustering at infinity.

(a) To compute the kernel $\mathsf{N}(L_2)$, suppose $L_2(v,h) = 0$. Multiplying the equation for v with $\varrho_*' v/\varrho_*$, employing the boundary and interface conditions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\int_\Omega k_* \Delta v v \, dx = \int_\Omega k_* |\nabla v|^2 \, dx + \int_\Sigma [\![k_* \partial_\nu v v]\!] \, d\Sigma \\ &= \int_\Omega k_* |\nabla v|^2 \, dx + \int_\Sigma [\![\varrho_* k_* \partial_\nu v]\!] v/\varrho_* \, d\Sigma = \int_\Omega k_* |\nabla v|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that v is constant in the components of the phases, and by the interface condition $[\![v/\varrho_*]\!] = 0$ we get $v = \alpha_0 \varrho_*$, for some constant α_0. Employing the interface condition $[\![v]\!] + \sigma \mathcal{A}_\Sigma h = 0$ this yields

$$h = \alpha_0 \gamma_* + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ik} Y_i^k, \quad \gamma_* = \frac{[\![\varrho_*]\!] R_*^2}{\sigma(n-1)},$$

for some constants α_{ik}, where Y_i^k denote the spherical harmonics of degree one for the components Σ_k of Σ. Therefore, the kernel of L_2 has dimension $(nm+1)$, and $\mathsf{N}(L_2)$ equals the tangent space $T_{e_*}\mathcal{E}$ at the equilibrium e_*.

(b) Next we show that the eigenvalue 0 is semi-simple for L_2. So let us assume that $L_2^2(w,k) = (0,0)$. Then

$$L_2(w,k) = \alpha_0(\varrho_*, \gamma_*) + \sum_{ik} \alpha_{ik}(0, Y_i^k),$$

for some constants α_0, α_{ik}. Integrating the equation for w over Ω with weight ϱ_*', this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0(\varrho_*'|\varrho_*)_\Omega &= -\int_\Omega \varrho_* k_* \Delta w dx = \int_\Sigma [\![\varrho_* k_* \partial_\nu w]\!] \, d\Sigma \\ &= [\![\varrho_*]\!] \int_\Sigma (\alpha_0 \gamma_* + \sum_{ik} \alpha_{ik} Y_i^k) \, d\Sigma = [\![\varrho_*]\!] \alpha_0 \gamma_* |\Sigma|, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ is possible if and only if in the stability condition **(SCii)** equality holds, i.e., $\zeta_* = 1$. Assuming on the contrary that this is not the case, we obtain $\alpha_0 = 0$, and then by the equation for k we have

$$\sum_{ik} \alpha_{ik} Y_i^k = 0,$$

which implies $\alpha_{ik} = 0$ as the functions Y_i^k are linearly independent. This shows $(w,h) \in \mathsf{N}(L)$, i.e., 0 is a semi-simple eigenvalue of L_2 if and only if $\zeta_* \neq 1$. Otherwise, the algebraic multiplicity raises by 1.

Next we consider the case **(i)** without phase transition. Here we have

$$L_1(v,h) = (-\frac{\varrho_* k_*}{\varrho_*'}\Delta v, k_*\partial_\nu v), \quad (v,h) \in \mathsf{D}(L_1),$$

with domain

$$\mathsf{D}(L_1) = \{(v,h) \in X_1 : \partial_\nu v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,\ [\![k_*\partial_\nu v]\!] = 0,\ [\![v]\!] + \sigma\mathcal{A}_\Sigma h = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma\}.$$

This operator is also the negative generator of a compact analytic C_0-semigroup in X_0. Therefore, its spectrum consists only of discrete eigenvalues of finite algebraic multiplicity, clustering at infinity.

(a) To compute the kernel $\mathsf{N}(L_1)$, suppose $L_1(v,h) = 0$. Multiplying the equation for v with $\varrho_*' v/\varrho_*$, employing the boundary and interface conditions, we obtain

$$0 = -\int_\Omega k_*\Delta v\,dx = \int_\Omega k_*|\nabla v|^2\,dx + \int_\Sigma [\![k_*\partial_\nu v v]\!]\,d\Sigma = \int_\Omega k_*|\nabla v|^2\,dx.$$

This implies that $v = v_{ij}$ is constant in the components Ω_{ij} of the phases. Employing the interface condition $[\![v]\!] + \sigma\mathcal{A}_\Sigma = 0$ this yields

$$\sum_{ij}(-1)^i\delta_{ij}^k v_{ij} + \sigma\mathcal{A}_\Sigma h = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_k, \quad 1 \le k \le m,$$

which implies

$$h = \sum_{k=1}^m h_k\chi_{\Sigma_k} + \sum_{ik}\alpha_{ik}Y_i^k, \quad h_k = \frac{R_k^2}{\sigma(n-1)}\sum_{ij}(-1)^i\delta_{ij}^k v_{ij}.$$

Thus the dimension of the kernel $\mathsf{N}(L_1)$ equals $(mn+m+1)$, and the tangent space $T_{e_*}\mathcal{E}$ equals $\mathsf{N}(L_1)$.

(b) Next we show that eigenvalue the 0 is semi-simple for L_1. Let us assume that $L_1^2(w,k) = (0,0)$. Then

$$L_1(w,k) = (\sum_{ij}(v_{ij}\chi_{ij}, \sum_k h_k\chi_{\Sigma_k}) + \sum_{lk}\alpha_{lk}(0, Y_l^k),$$

for some constants v_{ij}, α_{lk}, and h_k as defined above, and $\chi_{ij} := \chi_{\Omega_{ij}}$. Integrating the equation for w over Ω_{ij} this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Omega_{ij}|v_{ij} &= -(\varrho_{ij}(\pi_*)/\varrho_{ij}'(\pi_*))\int_{\Omega_{ij}} k_*\Delta w\,dx = (\varrho_{ij}(\pi_*)/\varrho_{ij}'(\pi_*))(-1)^i\int_{\partial\Omega_{ij}} k_*\partial_\nu w\,dx \\
&= (\varrho_{ij}(\pi_*)/\varrho_{ij}'(\pi_*))(-1)^i\big[\sum_l h_l\int_{\partial\Omega_{ij}}\chi_{\Sigma_k}\,d\Sigma + \sum_{lk}\alpha_{lk}\int_{\partial\Omega_{ij}} Y_l^k\,d\Sigma\big] \\
&= (\varrho_{ij}(\pi_*)/\varrho_{ij}'(\pi_*))(-1)^i\sum_l\delta_{ij}^l|\Sigma_l|h_l.
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $|\Omega_{ij}|$ and summing over i,j, we obtain

$$\frac{\sigma(n-1)}{R_k^2}h_k = \sum_{ij}(-1)^i\delta_{ij}^k v_{ij} = \sum_l\sum_{ij}\frac{\varrho_{ij}(\pi_*)}{\varrho_{ij}'(\pi_*)|\Omega_{ij}|}\delta_{ij}^k\delta_{ij}^l|\Sigma_l|h_l.$$

This implies that the vector $\tilde{h}$ with components $\tilde{h}_k = |\Sigma_k| h_k$ is an eigenvector of C_*. So if $\det C_* \neq 0$ this yields $h_k =$ for all k; hence v is constant all over Ω, and so integrating once more the equation for w with weight ϱ_*'/ϱ_* we obtain also $v = 0$. This shows that 0 is a semi-simple eigenvalue of L_1 if and only if C_* is invertible; otherwise the algebraic multiplicity of 0 raises by $\dim \mathsf{N}(C_*)$.

5.3 Normal stability and normal hyperbolicity.
We begin with case **(ii)** where phase transition is present, following the ideas in our monograph [5], Chapter 10.

(a) Consider the elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_*'\lambda v - \varrho_* k_* \Delta v = 0 \quad &\text{in } \Omega \setminus \Sigma, \\ \partial_\nu v = 0 \quad &\text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ [\![v/\varrho_*]\!] = 0 \quad &\text{on } \Sigma, \\ -[\![\varrho_* k_* \partial_\nu v]\!] = g \quad &\text{on } \Sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Given $g \in H_2^{1/2}(\Sigma)$, by elliptic theory, this problem has a unique solution $v \in H_p^2(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$, for each $\lambda > 0$. We then set

$$[\![\varrho_*]\!] T_\lambda g := [\![v]\!] = [\![\varrho_* v/\varrho_*]\!] = [\![\varrho_*]\!] v/\varrho_*.$$

This simplifies the eigenvalue problem considerably. In fact, $\lambda > 0$ is an eigenvalue of the linearization L_2 at equilibrium e_* if and only if 0 is an eigenvalue of

$$B_\lambda = [\![\varrho]\!]^2 \lambda T_\lambda + \sigma \mathcal{A}_\Sigma.$$

Next, multiplying (5.4) with v/ϱ_* and integrating by parts we obtain the important identity

$$\lambda \int_\Omega (\varrho_*'/\varrho_*) |v|^2 \, dx + \int_\Omega k_* |\nabla v|^2 \, dx = (T_\lambda g | g)_\Sigma.$$

Hence T_λ is positive semi-definite on $L_2(\Sigma)$. In a similar way one can show that T_λ is selfadjoint, and it is compact in $L_2(\Sigma)$, as $T_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(H_2^{1/2}(\Sigma); H_2^{3/2}(\Sigma)$. Therefore, B_λ is selfadjoint with compact resolvent, hence its spectrum consists only of semi-simple real eigenvalues.

(b) We need to compute the limit of λT_λ as $\lambda \to 0$. For this purpose we introduce first the bulk operator A_2 in $L_2(\Omega)$ by means of

$$A_2 v = -\frac{\varrho_* k_*}{\varrho_*'} \Delta v, \quad v \in \mathsf{D}(A_2),$$

with domain

$$\mathsf{D}(A_2) = \{ v \in H_2^2(\Omega \setminus \Sigma) : \ \partial_\nu v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \ [\![v/\varrho_*]\!] = 0, \ [\![\varrho_* k_* \partial_\nu v]\!] = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \}.$$

This operator is selfadjoint and positive semi-definite w.r.t. the inner product

$$\langle v_1 | v_2 \rangle := \int_\Omega v_1 \overline{v_2} \varrho_*' \, dx/\varrho_*,$$

and by compact embedding has compact resolvent. We decompose the solution v of (5.4) as $v = v_0 + v_2$, where v_0 solves (5.4), with $g = h$, for a fixed $\lambda_0 > 0$. Then v_2 solves the problem

$$\lambda v_2 + A v_2 = (\lambda_0 - \lambda) v_0, \quad \text{hence } v_2 = (\lambda_0 - \lambda)(\lambda + A_2)^{-1} v_0.$$

Let P_0 denote the orthogonal projection onto $\mathsf{N}(A_2)$. Then it is well-known that $\lambda(\lambda + A_2)^{-1} \to P_0$ as $\lambda \to 0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\lambda v = \lambda v_0 + (\lambda_0 - \lambda)\lambda(\lambda + A_2)^{-1} v_0 \to \lambda_0 P_0 v_0,$$

as $\lambda \to 0$. It is easy to see that the kernel of A_2 is one-dimensional and spanned by the function ϱ_*, which is constant in the phases. This implies that the projection P_0 is given by $P_0 = \varrho_* \otimes \varrho_*/(\varrho_*'|\varrho_*)_\Omega$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(\varrho_*'|\varrho_*)_\Omega \lambda_0 P_0 v_0 &= \varrho_* \langle \lambda_0 v_0 | \varrho_* \rangle = \varrho_* \int_\Omega \lambda_0 \varrho_*' v_0 \, dx \\ &= \varrho_* \int_\Omega \varrho_* k_* \Delta v_0 \, dx = -\varrho_* \int_\Sigma [\![\varrho_* k_* \partial_\nu v_0]\!] \, d\Sigma = \varrho_* \int_\Sigma h \, d\Sigma,\end{aligned}$$

i.e., we have

$$P_0 \lambda_0 v_0 = \big(\varrho_*/(\varrho_*'|\varrho_*)_\Omega\big) \int_\Sigma h \, d\Sigma.$$

Taking the jump of $P_0 \lambda_0 v_0$ across Σ this finally yields

$$B_0 h = \big([\![\varrho_*]\!]^2/(\varrho_*'|\varrho_*)_\Omega\big) \int_\Sigma h \, d\Sigma + \sigma \mathcal{A}_\Sigma h.$$

Decomposing $h = h_0 + \sum_k h_k \chi_{\Sigma_k}$ with constants h_k such that $\int_{\Sigma_k} h_0 = 0$ for all k, and observing that $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma$ is positive semi-definite on functions with mean zero over each component Σ_k of Σ, we may assume $h_0 = 0$ in the sequel. If $\sum_k h_k = 0$, then

$$B_0 h = -\frac{\sigma(n-1)|\Sigma|}{m R_*^2} h =: -\mu_0 h,$$

which shows that $-\mu_0$ is an $(m-1)$-fold eigenvalue of B_0. Finally consider h constant over Σ. Then

$$B_0 h = [\big([\![\varrho_*]\!]^2 |\Sigma|/(\varrho_*'|\varrho_*)_\Omega\big) - \frac{\sigma(n-1)}{R_*^2}] h = \mu_1 h.$$

This yields another eigenvalue μ_1 of B_0 which is negative if the stability condition **(SCii)** does not hold.

(c) Next we show that for large λ the operator B_λ is positive definite in $L_2(\Sigma)$. Let a_k be an orthonormal basis of $\mathsf{N}(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma) \oplus \mathsf{N}((n-1)/R_*^2 + \mathcal{A}_\Sigma)$ and let $P = \sum_k a_k \otimes a_k$ denote the corresponding orthogonal projection in $L_2(\Sigma)$. Then with $Q = I - P$, $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma$ is positive definite on $\mathsf{R}(Q) = \mathsf{N}(P)$. Now we assume the contrary, i.e., there exist sequences $\lambda_n \to \infty$, $h_n \in L_2(\Sigma)$ with $|h_n|_\Sigma = 1$, such that $(B_{\lambda_n} h_n | h_n)_\Sigma \leq 1/n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$[\![\varrho_*]\!]^2 \lambda_n (T_{\lambda_n} h_n | h_n)_\Sigma \leq (B_{\lambda_n} h_n | h_n) - \sigma(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma P h_n | P h_n)_\Sigma \leq C$$

is bounded, hence the corresponding solutions v_n of (5.4) satisfy

$$\lambda_n |v_n|_2 + \sqrt{\lambda_n} |\nabla v_n| \leq C.$$

Therefore, $\lambda_n v_n \rightharpoonup w$ weakly in $L_2(\Omega)$ along a subsequence, which will be denoted again by $\lambda_n v_n$. Taking a test function $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$, this yields

$$(\varrho_*' \lambda_n v_n | \phi)_\Omega = (\varrho_* k_* \Delta v_n | \phi)_\Omega = (\varrho_* k_* v_n | \Delta \phi)_\Omega \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$, hence $w = 0$. Next we extend the functions a_k from Σ to functions $a_k \in {}_0H_2^1(\Omega)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(h_n|\mathsf{a}_k)_\Sigma &= -\int_\Sigma [\![\varrho_* k_* \partial_\nu v_n]\!] \mathsf{a}_k \, d\Sigma \\ &= \int_\Omega \operatorname{div}(\varrho_* k_* \nabla v_n \mathsf{a}_k)\, dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \varrho_*' \lambda_n v_n \mathsf{a}_k \, dx + \int_\Omega \varrho_* k_* \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla \mathsf{a}_k \, dx \to 0,\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$, for each k, which shows $Ph_n \to 0$. But as $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma$ is positive definite on $\mathsf{N}(P)$, this also yields $Qh_n \to 0$ in $L_2(\Sigma)$, a contradiction to $|h_n|_\Sigma = 1$.

(d) We have shown that in case Σ consists of m components, B_0 has $(m-1)$ negative eigenvalues if the stability condition **(SCii)** holds and m negative eigenvalues otherwise, and B_λ has no negative eigenvalues for large λ. As λ runs from zero to infinity these negative eigenvalues have to cross the imaginary axis through 0, this way inducing an equal number of positive eigenvalues of L_2. This proves the statements in case **(ii)**.

Next we deal with case **(i)** without phase transition. The arguments are similar, and it is enough to carry out steps **(a)** and **(b)**. The remaining steps will be the same as in case **(ii)**, so we may skip them.

(a) Consider the elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_*' \lambda v - \varrho_* k_* \Delta v &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Sigma, \\ \partial_\nu v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ [\![k_* \partial_\nu v]\!] &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma, \\ -k_* \partial_\nu v &= g \quad \text{on } \Sigma.\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Given $g \in H_2^{1/2}(\Sigma)$, by elliptic theory this problem has a unique solution v, for each $\lambda > 0$. Here we set $T_\lambda g := [\![v]\!]$. Then $\lambda > 0$ is an eigenvalue of the linearization at equilibrium e_* if and only if 0 is an eigenvalue of

$$B_\lambda = \lambda T_\lambda + \sigma \mathcal{A}_\Sigma.$$

Next, multiplying (5.5) with v/ϱ_* and integrating by parts we obtain the identity

$$\lambda \int_\Omega (\varrho_*'/\varrho_*)|v|^2 \, dx + \int_\Omega k_* |\nabla v|^2 \, dx = (T_\lambda g | g)_\Sigma.$$

Hence T_λ is positive semi-definite on $L_2(\Sigma)$. In a similar way one can show that T_λ is selfadjoint, and it is compact in $L_2(\Sigma)$, as $T_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(H_2^{1/2}(\Sigma); H_2^{3/2}(\Sigma)$. Therefore, B_λ is selfadjoint with compact resolvent, hence its spectrum consists only of semi-simple real eigenvalues.

(b) We proceed in a similar way as in case **(ii)**. Here the operator A_1 in $L_2(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$A_1 v = -\frac{\varrho_* k_*}{\varrho_*'} \Delta v, \quad v \in \mathsf{D}(A),$$

with domain

$$\mathsf{D}(A_1) = \{v \in H_2^2(\Omega \setminus \Sigma) : \ \partial_\nu v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \ [\![k_* \partial_\nu v]\!] = 0, \ k_* \partial_\nu v = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma\}.$$

This operator is selfadjoint and positive semi-definite w.r.t. the inner product

$$\langle v_1|v_2\rangle := \int_\Omega v_1\overline{v_2}\varrho'_*\,dx/\varrho_*,$$

and by compact embedding has compact resolvent. To compute the projection P_0, note that the kernel of A_1 is spanned by the characteristic functions $\chi_{ij} := \chi_{\Omega_{ij}}$ of the components of the phases, as any $v \in \mathsf{N}(A_1)$ is constant on each component of $\Omega \setminus \Sigma$. This yields with $\varrho_{ij} = \varrho_*$ on Ω_{ij} and similarly for ϱ'_{ij},

$$P_0 = \sum_{ij} \big(\varrho_{ij}/\varrho'_{ij}|\Omega_{ij}|\big)\chi_{ij} \otimes \chi_{ij}.$$

Next we compute $P_0\lambda_0 v_0$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_0\lambda_0 v_0 &= \sum_{ij} \big(\varrho_{ij}/\varrho'_{ij}|\Omega_{ij}|\big)\chi_{ij} \int_{\Omega_{ij}} \lambda_0\varrho'_* v_0/\varrho_*\,dx \\
&= \sum_{ij} \big(\varrho_{ij}/\varrho'_{ij}|\Omega_{ij}|\big)\chi_{ij} \int_{\Omega_{ij}} k_*\Delta v_0\,dx \\
&= \sum_{ij} \big(\varrho_{ij}/\varrho'_{ij}|\Omega_{ij}|\big)\chi_{ij}(-1)^{i+1} \int_{\partial\Omega_{ij}} k_*\partial_\nu v_0 d(\partial\Omega_{ij}) \\
&= \sum_{ij} \big(\varrho_{ij}/\varrho'_{ij}|\Omega_{ij}|\big)\chi_{ij}(-1)^{i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{ij}} h d(\partial\Omega_{ij}),
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$P_0\lambda_0 v_0 = \sum_{ij} \big(\varrho_{ij}/\varrho'_{ij}|\Omega_{ij}|\big)\chi_{ij}(-1)^{i} \sum_l \delta^l_{ij} \int_{\Sigma_l} h\,d\Sigma,$$

where $\delta^k_{ij} = 1$ if $\Sigma_k \subset \partial\Omega_{ij}$ and $\delta^k_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. To compute the jump we note that $[\![\chi_{ij}]\!] = (-1)^i$ if $\delta^k_{ij} = 1$ and is 0 otherwise. This yields

$$[\![P_0\lambda_0 v_0]\!] = \sum_{kl}\sum_{ij} \big(\varrho_{ij}/\varrho'_{ij}|\Omega_{ij}|\big)\chi_{\Sigma_l}\delta^k_{ij}\delta^l_{ij} \int_{\Sigma_k} h\,d\Sigma,$$

and so decomposing as before $h = h_0 + \sum_k h_k\chi_{\Sigma_k}$, we derive the representation

$$(B_0 h|h)_\Sigma = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma h_0|h_0)_\Sigma + \sum_{kl} c^*_{kl}|\Sigma_k|h_k|\Sigma_l|h_l,$$

where the coefficients c^*_{kl} of the matrix C_* have been introduced in Section 3. As a consequence we see that the number of negative eigenvalues of L_1 equals the number of negative eigenvalues of C_*.

5.4 Nonlinear stability of equilibria

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of (non-degenerate) equilibria, and fix some equilibrium $e_* = (\pi_*, \Gamma_*) \in \mathcal{E}$. Employing the findings from the previous section, we have

- e_* is normally stable if C_* is positive definite, resp. $\zeta_* < 1$ and Γ_* is connected.
- e_* is normally hyperbolic if C_* is indefinite, resp. $\zeta_* > 1$ or Γ_* is disconnected.

Therefore, the Generalized Principle of Linearized Stability due to Prüss, Simonett, Zacher [4] yields our main result on stability of equilibria.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $e_* \in \mathcal{E}$ be a non-degenerate equilibrium such that* $\det C_* \neq 0$, *resp.* $\zeta_* \neq 1$. *Then*

- **(i)** *If e_* is normally stable, it is nonlinearly stable, and any solution starting near e_* is global and converges to another equilibrium $e_\infty \in \mathcal{E}$.*
- **(ii)** *If e_* is normally hyperbolic, then e_* is nonlinearly unstable. Any solution starting in a neighborhood of e_* and staying near e_* exists globally and converges to an equilibrium $e_\infty \in \mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. The proof parallels that for the Stefan problem with surface tension given in Prüss and Simonett [5], Chapter 11. □

6. Global Behaviour

In this last section we want to describe the global behaviour of the Verigin problem with and without phase transition.

6.1 The Local Semiflows

Here we introduce the semiflows induced by the solutions of the problems. Recall that the closed C^2-hypersurfaces contained in Ω form a C^2-manifold, denoted by $\mathcal{MH}^2$. Charts are obtained via parametrization over a fixed hypersurface, and the tangent spaces consist of the normal vector fields.
As an ambient space for the state-manifold $\mathcal{SM}$ of the Verigin problems we consider the product space $X_0 := L_p(\Omega) \times \mathcal{MH}^2$. The compatibility conditions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\nu \pi &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ [\![\pi]\!] &= \sigma H_\Gamma && \text{on } \Gamma, \\ [\![k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\partial_\nu \pi]\!] &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma, \\ k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\partial_\nu \pi &\in W_p^{2-6/p}(\Gamma) && \text{on } \Gamma, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

in the first case, while in the second case the last two conditions are to be replaced by

$$[\![\psi(\varrho) + \varrho\psi'(\varrho)]\!] = 0, \quad [\![\varrho(\pi)k(\pi, |\nabla\pi|^2)\partial_\nu \pi]\!] \in W_p^{2-6/p}(\Gamma), \tag{6.2}$$

and in this case we additionally require $[\![\varrho]\!] \neq 0$.

We define the state manifolds $\mathcal{SM}$ of the problems as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{SM} := \{(\pi, \Gamma) \in X_0 : \pi \in W_p^{2-2/p}(\Omega \setminus \Gamma),\ \Gamma \in W_p^{4-3/p}, \\ \text{the compatibility conditions are satisfied}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The charts for these manifolds are obtained by the charts induced by those for $\mathcal{MH}^2$, followed by a Hanzawa transformation. Observe that the compatibility conditions as well as regularity are preserved by the solutions.

Applying the local existence result and re-parameterizing repeatedly, we obtain the local semiflows on $\mathcal{SM}$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $p > n + 2$ and $[\![\varrho_0]\!] \neq 0$ for the second case.*
Then the two-phase Verigin problems generate local semiflows on their respective state manifolds $\mathcal{SM}$. Each solution (π, Γ) exists on a maximal time interval $[0, t_+)$.

6.2. Global existence and asymptotic behaviour

There are a number of obstructions to global existence of the solutions:

- **regularity**: the norms of either $\pi(t)$ or $\Gamma(t)$ may become unbounded;
- **geometry**: the topology of the interface may change; or the interface may touch the boundary of Ω; or a part of the interface may shrink to a point in case **(ii)**;
- **well-posedness**: $|[\![\varrho(t,x)]\!]|$ may come close to zero in case **(ii)**.

We say that a solution (π,Γ) satisfies the uniform ball condition, if there is a radius $r>0$ such that for each $t\in[0,t_+)$ and at every point $p\in\Gamma(t)$ we have

$$\bar B(p\pm r\nu_{\Gamma(t)}(p),r)\subset\Omega,\quad \bar B(p\pm r\nu_{\Gamma(t)}(p),r)\cap\Gamma(t)=\{p\}.$$

Combining the above results, we obtain the following theorem on the asymptotic behavior of solutions.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $p>n+2$. Suppose that (π,Γ) is a solution of one of the Verigin problems, and assume the following on its maximal interval of existence $[0,t_+)$:*

(α) $|\pi(t)|_{W_p^{2-2/p}}+|\Gamma(t)|_{W_p^{4-3/p}}\le M$; *2mm*

(β) (π,Γ) *satisfies the uniform ball condition; 2mm*

(γ) $c\le[\![\varrho(t)]\!]$ *in case* **(ii)**, *for some constant $c>0$.*

Then $t_+=\infty$, i.e., the solution exists globally, its limit set $\omega_+(\pi,\Gamma)\subset\mathcal{E}$ is nonempty, and the solution converges in $\mathcal{SM}$ to an equilibrium, provided either

- $\omega_+(\pi,\Gamma)$ *contains a stable equilibrium e_∞;*
- (π,Γ) *stays eventually near some $e_\infty\in\omega_+(\pi,\Gamma)$.*

The converse is also true: if a global solution converges, then (α),(β),(γ) are valid.

Proof. This result is proved in the same way as for the Stefan problem with surface tension in Prüss and Simonett [5], Chapter 11. □

References

[1] G. I. Bizhanova, V. A. Solonnikov, *On problems with free boundaries for second-order parabolic equations.* Algebra i Analyzi **12**, 98–139 (2000).

[2] E. V. Frolova, *Estimates in L_p for the solution of a model problem corresponding to the Verigin problem.* Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S-Petersburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov **259**, 280–295 (1999).

[3] E. V. Frolova, *Solvability of the Verigin problem in Sobolev spaces.* Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S-Petersburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov **295**, 180–203 (2003).

[4] J. Prüss, G. Simonett, R. Zacher, *On convergence of solutions to equilibria for quasilinear parabolic problems*, J. Diff. Eqns. **246**, 3902–3931 (2009).

[5] J. Prüss, G. Simonett, *Moving Interfaces and Quasilinear Parabolic Evolution Equations*, Monographs in Mathematics **105**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2016.

[6] J. Prüss, G. Simonett, On the Muskat problem. Submitted.

[7] E. V. Radkevich, *The classical Verigin-Muskat problem, the regularization problem, and inner layers.* Sovrem. Mat. Prilozh. **16**, 113–155 (2004).

[8] Y. Tao, *Classical solutions of Verigin problem with surface tension.* Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **18**, 393–404 (1997).

[9] Y. Tao, F. Yi, *Classical Verigin problem as a limit case of Verigin problem with surface tension at free boundary*. Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ.Ser.B **11**, 307–322 (1996).
[10] L. F. Xu, *A Verigin problem with kinetic condition*. Appl. Math. Mech. **18**, 177-184 (1997).

Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Institut für Mathematik, Theodor-Lieser-Strasse 5, D-06120 Halle, Germany
E-mail address: jan.pruess@mathematik.uni-halle.de

Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA
E-mail address: gieri.simonett@vanderbilt.edu